

Welcome to The Third Meeting of the NGNGV Program's Vehicle Working Group



Sponsored by DOE/NREL March 12 & 13, 2001 Diamond Bar, CA



Thanks!

This meeting has been organized by:

- Kevin Walkowicz, NREL
- Denny Stephens, Battelle
- Elizabeth Dolan, Center. Edge, LLC
- Paul Norton, NREL
- Jennifer Elling, NREL
- Kathee Roque, NREL
- Mike Bogdanoff, SCAQMD
- Mary Kos, GTI
- Cricket Pierce, NREL



Tentative Date for Next NGNGV Meeting

Week of October 15
Location TBD



Meeting Objectives

 Focus on the future: Discuss the direction of the NGNGV Program in the light of recent developments

 Present Phase 1 RFP and hold Bidders' Conference

Present the NGNGV Website and get your feedback



Meeting Outcomes

- Your input on the revised program plan
- Bidders questions and responses
- You understand how to use website
 - For program information
 - To provide input
 - To get the RFP



Meeting Agenda

Monday: 1:00 - 5:30

Break: ~ 3:00

Bidders' Conference: 4:00 – 5:30

Cash Bar -6:00 - 7:00

DB's Grille, Holiday Inn Select

Dinner Hosted by NREL - 7:00 - 8:30

Gateway 2&4, Holiday Inn Select

Tuesday: 8:00 – 12:00

Break: ~10:15

Adjourn: by 12:00

Lunch: 12:00 - 1:00



Meeting Agenda

Monday

Welcome, Introduction and Objectives Paul Norton

New Directions for the NGNGV Program Kevin Walkowicz

Kevin Stork

NGNGV Website Jen Elling

Bidders' Conference

Kathee Roque

Kevin Walkowicz



Meeting Agenda

Tuesday

Summary of Bidders' Conference

Kathee Roque

Kevin Walkowicz

Discussion of New Directions

All

Summary and Next Steps for NGNGV

Kevin Walkowicz

Meeting Logistics

The NGNGV Program as of the Last Meeting of this Group.....



Program Objectives

One medium-duty (Class 3-6) CNG vehicle and one heavy-duty (Class 7-8) LNG vehicle will be available in 2004 that:

- Advance DOE natural gas and heavy-vehicle technologies
- Implement advanced engine technology
- Represent a significant step change NG vehicle technology
- Have NOx emission levels below 0.5 g/bhp-hr and PM emissions below
 0.01 g/bhp-hr significantly below EPA emissions standards for 2004
- Are competitive with conventionally fueled vehicles in performance and life-cycle economics
- Are technically and commercially viable
- Aid Nonattainment Areas in reducing pollutant emissions from vehicles

This Vehicle Working Group is the advisory body of the **NGNGV Program!**

NGNGV Program Structure Evaluate program direction Vehicle and technical issues **Advise Funding Partners** Working Group Secure **Funding Partners:** funding Perform **SCAQMD** DOE Develop research **CEC** GTI **RFPs** Build vehicles Advise and **Battelle** support



Two Phases of Research

Phase 1
FY2001
Emission Techy
Conceptual

Phase 1

Task A: Emission Technology
Develop options for meeting the
ambition emissions goals of the
program

Task B: Conceptual Design
Develop preliminary designs and
market introduction strategies



Phase 2

Development of two prototype vehicles to be put in service on on-road development in 2004.

- Class 3-6 CNG Vehicle
- Class 7-8 LNG Vehicle

se 2 2002 pe Vehicles



Two Phases of Research

Phase 1
FY2001
Emission Technology
Conceptual Design

Phase 2
FY2002
Prototype Vehicles





Year 2000

- ✓ Launch Program
- ✓ Form Working Group
- ✓ Gather recommendations
- ✓ Secure 2001 Funding

DOE

GGTI

SCAQMD



- ✓ Release Phase 1 RFP
 - Initiate Phase 1 Research
 - Gather Phase 2 Recommendations
 - Continue discussions with potential customers
 - Secure 2002 Funding



- ✓ Release Phase 1 RFP
 - Initiate Phase 1 Research
 - Gather Phase 2 Recommendations
 - Continue discussions with potential customers
 - Secure 2002 Funding



- Prepare Specifications for Vehicles
- Release Phase 2 RFP
- Initiate and monitor vehicle design and build contracts
- Continue discussions with potential customers
- Secure 2003 Funding



- Continue vehicle design and build contracts
- Identify sites for prototypes and coordinate infrastructure
- Prepare prototype testing and evaluation plan
- Continue discussions with potential customers
- Secure 2004 Funding



- Produce production intent prototype vehicles
- Place prototypes in revenue service for further development and evaluation
- Commercial products in 2005
- Facilitate customer/supplier interactions



New NREL Lead for NGNGV

Kevin Walkowicz

- Joined NREL as a Senior Engineer in January
- Previously a Fuel System Development Engineer and a Fuel System Design Release Engineer with General Motors. He has also worked for Chrysler
- BS in Mechanical Engineering Lawrence Technological University in Southfield, Michigan. Working on MS from Rensselaer Polytechnical
- Kevin is the NREL Task Leader for Alternative Fuels for Heavy Vehicles



Goals

- Role is to provide point of contact for NGNGV program and provide direction and leadership
- NGNGV goal is to produce 1 prototype Class 3-6 CNG and 1 prototype Class 7-8 LNG
- Final vehicles should be commercially viable and competitive with conventionally fueled vehicles
- Final vehicles will have the same or lower emissions levels as conventionally fueled vehicles



Goals

- NGNGV will be communicated via the website
- Current and Future RFP's will be the results of discussions within the NGNGV working group
 - This is the purpose of these types of meetings



- EPA adopted 2007 emissions standards
 - 0.2 g/bhp-hr NOx is definitely a 'stretch'
 - Other regulated emissions also tough
 - Programs targeted for 2006/2007 should have
 0.2 g/bhp-hr NOx and .001 g/bhp-hr PM
 targets (must meet 2007 standard)
 - Earlier rollouts could have 0.5 g/bhp-hr NOx and .01 g/bhp-hr PM targets



- New DOE GPRA target timeline
 - Based on funding and manpower availability
- Change in DOE NGNGV leadership
 - Kevin Stork is DOE Program Manager for Heavy
 Duty Alternative Fuels Research
 - Formerly of Argonne National Lab
 - Significant involvement in the NG programs
 - New position is responsible for DOE OHVT Alternative Fuel programs



Opportunities for the NGNGV Program

- Change in GPRA targets for 2001 and beyond regarding NGNGV
 - (1) By 2004, develop a Class 3-6 compressed natural gas (CNG) production-ready prototype vehicle that is fully compatible in cost and performance to its conventionally-fueled counterparts
 - (2) by 2007, develop a Class 7-8 liquefied natural gas (LNG) production-ready prototype vehicle that is fully compatible in cost and performance to its conventionally-fueled counterparts



Opportunities for the NGNGV Program

- Reasons for moving targeted timeline back for Class 7-8
 - Technology 'readiness' for HD LNG
 - What does industry want to deliver and when
 - DOE Funding for future years
 - Financial needs to do 2 vehicle builds in FY2002/2003
 - FY02 funding is flat
 - FY03 funding expected



Opportunities for the NGNGV Program

- Class 3-6 CNG is still targeted for 2004 but will depend on results of Phase 1 RFP and FY2002 funding
 - Technology 'readiness' for MD CNG
 - What does industry want to deliver and when
 - DOE Funding for future years
 - Financial needs to do 2 vehicle builds in FY2002/2003
 - FY02 funding is flat
 - FY03 funding expected



• The Good News is:

Phase 1 RFP has not changed!

- Task A: Technology Assessment
 - What, When & How
- Task B: Concept Design Development
 - What is best vehicle for each class

Will Discuss Phase 1 RFP during Bidders Conference @ 4pm



Phase 1
FY2001
Emission Tech
Conceptual

Phase 1

Task A: Emission Technology
Develop options for meeting the
ambition emissions goals of the
program

Task B: Conceptual Design
Develop preliminary designs and
market introduction strategies

Phase 2

Development of two prototype vehicles to be put in service on on-road development in 200?.

- Class 3-6 CNG Vehicle
- Class 7-8 LNG Vehicle

se 2 02-2006 pe Vehicles



- 3 Proposed Strategies for NGNGV Phase 2
 - Considerations included:
 - Market outlook
 - Pending legislation
 - Natural gas vs convential fuel prices
 - Funding from various organizations
 - Availability of technology/Ability to meet goals



- 3 Possible Scenarios
- Scenario 1 MD and HD possible in 2004
- Scenario 2 HD not possible now –wait until 2006 or 2007 to deliver vehicle, MD in 2004
- Scenario 3 MD and HD not feasible for 2004 delay both vehicle builds



- Scenario 1 HD and MD possible in 2004
 - Task A results show significant promise for both
 - Funding becomes available for 2 vehicles
 - Industry wants vehicles in 2004 with 0.5 g NOx



- Scenario 2 HD not possible now –wait until 2006 or 2007 to deliver vehicle, deliver MD in 2004
 - Task A shows no promise for HD delivery in 2004, 2005
 - Will alleviate some funding concerns
 - Could kick off HD engine development with 2002 FY funding
 - MD could still be feasible and pursued



- Scenario 3 MD and HD not feasible for 2004 delay both vehicle builds
 - Neither MD or HD shows promise from task A
 - Industry can wait until 2005 as soonest
 - Funding could be more flexible
 - 2002 FY would fund engine dev. only